



ARL-TN-1299 • FEB 2026



User Documentation for a Skeletal Muscle Constitutive Model

by John D. Clayton

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.



User Documentation for a Skeletal Muscle Constitutive Model

John D. Clayton

DEVCOM Army Research Laboratory

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED					
February 2026		Technical Note		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">START DATE</td> <td style="width: 50%;">END DATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01 Aug 2025</td> <td>31 Jan 2026</td> </tr> </table>		START DATE	END DATE	01 Aug 2025	31 Jan 2026
START DATE	END DATE								
01 Aug 2025	31 Jan 2026								
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE									
User Documentation for a Skeletal Muscle Constitutive Model									
5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		5b. GRANT NUMBER		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER					
5d. PROJECT NUMBER		5e. TASK NUMBER		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER					
6. AUTHOR(S)									
John D. Clayton									
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER					
DEVCOM Army Research Laboratory ATTN: FCDD-RLA-TB Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066				ARL-TN-1299					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)				
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT									
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.									
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES									
ORCID: John D. Clayton, 0000-0003-4107-6282									
14. ABSTRACT									
This technical note provides user documentation for a constitutive model of skeletal muscle implemented as a user-material subroutine in the LS-DYNA software. Pseudocode explaining the computational workflow is supplied. History variables, material parameters, and user-specified controls are identified. Syntax for the material model block in the LS-DYNA input file is explained. A sample input file for deformation of a single hexahedral element is provided with recommended values of parameters.									
15. SUBJECT TERMS									
Skeletal Muscle, Continuum Mechanics, Mixture Theory, Injury Biomechanics, LS-DYNA, Terminal Effects									
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		18. NUMBER OF PAGES				
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	UU		27				
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED							
19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON				19b. PHONE NUMBER (Include area code)					
John D. Clayton <john.d.clayton1.civ@army.mil>				(520) 691-5636					

STANDARD FORM 298 (REV. 5/2020)

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Contents

Acknowledgments	iv
1. Introduction	1
2. Pseudocode, Variables, and Syntax	3
2.1 Pseudocode	3
2.2 History Variables	4
2.3 Input File Syntax	5
3. Sample Input File	13
4. References	17
List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms	19
Distribution List	21

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge support of ARL's Terminal Effects Competency. I thank Dr. Carolyn Hampton for assistance with use of Department of Defense High Performance Computing systems. Dr. Hampton is also thanked for collaboration and co-authorship on the original journal article¹ describing the underlying theoretical-computational model.

¹Clayton J, Hampton C. Dynamic damage in active and passive skeletal muscle: a continuum mechanical model. *International Journal of Engineering Science*. 2026;221:104472.

1. Introduction

This technical note provides user documentation for a constitutive model of skeletal muscle¹ implemented for 3-D finite element (FE) simulations as a user-material subroutine in the LS-DYNA software.² Potential users should also consult the LS-DYNA software manuals, currently available at <https://lsdyna.ansys.com/manuals/>, for instructions on how to compile and execute generic user-material subroutines in LS-DYNA. This note is of similar structure to a recent manual³ of a constitutive model for liver likewise implemented in LS-DYNA. User documentation for a constitutive model of lung tissue mechanics and injury,^{4,5} also implemented in LS-DYNA, was provided in previous technical reports.^{6,7}

The muscle constitutive model is implemented in the FORTRAN programming language as a user-defined material in the LS-DYNA software, identified by user-defined material number 48. The global subroutine for the constitutive update is named `umat48`. This file, in turn, is inserted in the master file `dyn21umats.f` and recompiled with the source prior to execution, as explained in the LS-DYNA documentation.² Aforementioned implementations for liver^{3,8} and lung^{6,7} are assigned respective user-material numbers of 49 and 50, with corresponding subroutines `umat49` for liver and `umat50` for lung in the master file `dyn21umats.f`.

The present implementation is limited to explicit dynamics calculations. As such, no tangent stiffness tensor that would be needed for implicit calculations is required. Per standard conventions of the software, in each time increment $t \rightarrow t + \Delta t$, the local deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}$ is supplied at the end of the time step, as are values of deformation rate $\mathbf{d}$, material properties, and state variables at the beginning of the step. The user-material subroutine calculates, at each integration point, the Cauchy stress tensor $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and the state variables at the end of the time step, including temperature θ . To date, only isothermal and adiabatic simulations (i.e., no heat conduction) have been tested. The model has been verified only for *ELEMENT_SOLID continuum elements; shell elements are not supported.

The objective of this note is to aid transition of a skeletal muscle constitutive model to applied research on injury mechanics⁹ with the LS-DYNA software. The reader is referred to the original journal article¹ for details on underlying theory and certain computational methods that are not reproduced in this note. Prior references on soft-tissue mechanics and injury by the author's research group culminating in

the present constitutive model are openly available.^{8,10–16} Software in usable *.f format (e.g., dyn21umat.s.f) and input files in usable *.k format can be provided to collaborators holding valid LS-DYNA licenses upon request. A stand-alone FORTRAN program similar to that described by Clayton and Lloyd¹⁷ has also been implemented for verification testing of the muscle model constitutive routines. This program, not documented in the present note but available upon request, can be used in lieu of LS-DYNA during development and proofing stages of generic user-material model subroutines.

The present computer implementation, namely, umat48, includes extra features that are not described in its original application¹ to transversely isotropic skeletal muscle. Simulations in the original application presumed the tissue contains only a single muscle fiber family. The current computer implementation allows for up to two fiber families of possibly different orientations. As such, the present implementation could be used for other kinds of fibrous soft tissues, such as cardiac muscle^{16,18} or skin^{12,14} with a higher degree of anisotropy (e.g., orthotropy). A second extra feature is allowance of a more general time-dependent activation function for tissue stimulation. The original study¹ only considered a Heaviside unit step function distinguishing between null and full activation. The umat48 subroutine includes time dependence via a combination of polynomial and sinusoidal terms. The original application¹ always enforced the switching function¹⁹ that nullifies fiber contributions to stress when compressed along their axial direction. The umat48 subroutine includes options to enable or disable the switching function, where the latter choice provides for fiber stiffness in compression. Finally, the original work¹ always included dilatational (i.e., volumetric expansive) strain energy in the driving force for matrix damage and always included total volumetric energy in the driving force for matrix and fiber injury. More generally, the current implementation provides options that can exclude dilatational energy from the damage kinetics and can exclude tensile or total volumetric energy from local injury criteria.

Basic code structure (i.e., pseudocode) and syntax for the material model block in the LS-DYNA input file are described in Section 2. History variables, parameters with recommended values, and user-specified controls for the material input cards are identified. A sample input file for uniaxial (i.e., 1-D) deformation of a single 3-D hexahedral element is provided in Section 3. Notation for acronyms and symbols supplementing the original reference¹ is listed after the bibliography of Section 4.

2. Pseudocode, Variables, and Syntax

2.1 Pseudocode

The `umat48` program for LS-DYNA contains 13 internal subroutines: `pressure48`, `volenergy48`, `deviator48`, `viscomatrix48`, `viscofiber48`, `degrade48`, `damage48`, `inj48`, `metric48`, `theta48`, `active48`, `det48`, and `inverse48`. The last two calculate the determinant and inverse, respectively, of a 3×3 matrix. The other 11 internal subroutines are defined implicitly in the context of pseudocode below that outlines procedures and calculations performed in `umat48` (where appropriate, Section numbers in the main journal article¹ are listed in square braces $[\cdot]$ for reference):

1. Start
2. Assign material properties supplied by user input file
3. Initialize or assign state variables from end of previous time step
4. Receive deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}$ at end of current step, calculate J [Section 2.1]
5. Calculate solid partial pressure using equation of state (EOS) [Section 3.1] by calling `pressure48`
6. Calculate fluid partial pressure using EOS [Section 3.1] by calling `pressure48`
7. Calculate solid deviatoric elastic stress, matrix strain energy, and fiber strain energy [Section 3.1] by calling `deviator48`, where the latter calls `degrade48` as needed for strength reductions from damage [Section 3.4]
8. Calculate Lagrangian strain increment $\dot{\mathbf{C}}\Delta t$ using $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ [Section 2.4]
9. Calculate matrix viscoelastic stress, free energy, and dissipation contributions for up to $m = 2$ relaxation times [Section 3.2] by calling `viscomatrix48` for each m
10. Calculate viscoelastic stress, free energy, and dissipation contributions for up to two fiber families $k = 1, 2$ having up to $n = 2$ relaxation times [Section 3.2] by calling `viscofiber48` for each k and n
11. Calculate active stress [Sections 3.3 and 4.1] for up to two fiber families $k = 1, 2$ having the same activation factor $\Delta_A(t) \in [0, 1]$ by calling `active48`
12. Calculate determinant of non-Euclidean metric $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ used in degradation model [Section 3.4] and injury model [Section 3.7] by calling `metric48`
13. Calculate volumetric thermoelastic strain energy [Section 3.1] by calling `volenergy48`

14. Add matrix deviatoric strain energy, viscoelastic energy, and volumetric energy as appropriate to obtain driving force for matrix damage [Section 3.4]
15. Calculate rate of matrix damage $\bar{D}$, its updated value not to exceed unity, and dissipation contribution [Section 3.4] by calling `damage48`
16. Add fiber deviatoric strain energy and fiber viscoelastic energy to obtain driving force for damage to fiber k [Section 3.4]
17. Calculate rate of fiber damage D_k , its updated value not to exceed unity, and dissipation contribution [Section 3.4] by calling `damage48` for each fiber family $k = 1, 2$
18. Calculate energetic driving forces (free energy sums) and updated injury measures for matrix $\bar{I}$ and fibers I_k [Section 3.7] by successively calling `inj48` for the matrix and possible fiber families $k = 1, 2$
19. Calculate the contribution of bulk viscosity to dissipation [Section 3.5]; viscous pressure $\hat{p}$ is implemented by LS-DYNA outside the `umat48` routine
20. If simulation is not isothermal, update temperature θ [Section 3.6] including contributions from EOS and total dissipation by calling `theta48`
21. Add pressure and deviatoric contributions to give total stress σ for mixture [Section 3.6] at end of step
22. Store temperature θ and other state variables as LS-DYNA history variables at end of step
23. Return
24. End

2.2 History Variables

The LS-DYNA implementation of the skeletal muscle model stores a total of 93 history variables in the `hsv` vector. These are identified as follows:

HISTORY VARIABLES

<code>hsv (1)</code>	temperature θ
<code>hsv (2)</code>	matrix damage order parameter $\bar{D}$
<code>hsv (3)</code>	fiber damage order parameter D_k ($k = 1$)
<code>hsv (4)</code>	fiber damage order parameter D_k ($k = 2$)
<code>hsv (5)</code>	matrix injury measure $\bar{I}$
<code>hsv (6)</code>	fiber injury measure I_k ($k = 1$)

hsv (7)	fiber injury measure I_k ($k = 2$)
hsv (8)	fiber active stress measure $\tilde{\sigma}_k = n_{\star}^s P_{Ak} / \lambda_k$ ($k = 1$)
hsv (9)	fiber active stress measure $\tilde{\sigma}_k = n_{\star}^s P_{Ak} / \lambda_k$ ($k = 2$)
hsv (10)–(12)	cumulative energy for matrix and fiber ($k = 1, 2$) viscoelasticity
hsv (13)–(36)	internal variables for matrix viscoelasticity
hsv (37)–(60)	internal variables for fiber ($k = 1$) viscoelasticity
hsv (61)–(84)	internal variables for fiber ($k = 2$) viscoelasticity
hsv (85)–(93)	components of deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}$

The first nine history variables, calculated in the user subroutine `umat48`, and the last nine (i.e., $\mathbf{F}$; see Clayton²⁰ or any continuum mechanics reference) supplied by the LS-DYNA main routine, are most often of keen interest for postprocessing. The other 75 possible variables are required by `umat48` to integrate the kinetic equations for viscoelasticity for all potential modes and relaxation times; these and complementary variables (e.g., $\{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{\Gamma}, \Psi\}$) are likely of low interest to the user in the context of postprocessing and results visualization.

2.3 Input File Syntax

User-specified input for the material model first comprises 48 local material constants read from the input file and stored in the `cm` vector. These are supplied in the input file block as six additional lines or “cards”, with eight constants per card, that follow the first two obligatory cards for any material model. Furthermore, 24 additional material constants are stored in the `cma` vector. These 24 are supplied in the input file as 3 more cards, 8 constants per card, that immediately follow the first 48.

See the end of this technical note and Table 1 of the main journal article¹ for notation and more thorough material property definitions. Suggested values in what follows correspond primarily to rat tibialis anterior muscle.¹ In the suggested case, the fraction of occluded extracellular fluid is 10%, the tissue has a single fiber family, and both the matrix and fibers have a single viscoelastic relaxation mode. Higher values of the matrix shear modulus $\mu_S = 4$ kPa and damage threshold $\bar{W}_X / \mu_S = 25$ were obtained for porcine muscle.¹

As noted in Section 1, a more general form of activation function Δ_A than originally

prescribed¹ is enabled by the current computer implementation:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Delta}_A(t) &= d_0 + d_1(t - t_{A0}) + d_2(t - t_{A0})^2 + d_3 \sin(d_4 + d_5(t - t_{A0})), \\ \Delta_A(t) &= \begin{cases} \min[\tilde{\Delta}_A(t), 1] & \text{if } t \in [t_{A0}, t_{A1}] \text{ and } \tilde{\Delta}_A(t) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t < t_{A0}, t > t_{A1}, \text{ or } \tilde{\Delta}_A(t) < 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

Though any consistent set of units can be used, recommended International System of Units (SI) units (not all independent) are {kg, m, sec, N, Pa, K}. Any parameters in cards 3–11 labeled as “flags” should be input as floating point numbers (e.g., 0., 1., 2., 3.) rather than integers since the `cm` and `cma` arrays are hardcoded as real variables in the LS-DYNA source. Flags in either array are converted from real to integer in the `umat48` subroutine as appropriate. Input in LS-DYNA syntax (e.g., in the `*.k` file) is as follows, noting cards 4 and 5 use the same variable names for respective solid and fluid phases:

`*MAT_USER_DEFINED_MATERIAL_MODELS`

CARD 1

MID	material identification: unique 8-digit number
RO	initial mass density of the mixture $\rho_0 = \sum_{\alpha} n_0^{\alpha} \rho_{R0}^{\alpha}$
MT	material number for user-defined subroutine call (48, fixed)
LMC	length of material constant <code>cm</code> array (48, fixed)
NHV	number of user history variables excluding $\mathbf{F}$ (84, fixed)
IORTHO	material is not orthotropic (0, fixed)
IBULK	card 3 location for bulk modulus in time step calculation (1, fixed)
IG	card 3 location for shear modulus in time step calculation (2, fixed)

CARD 2

IVECT	vectorized material model not implemented (0, fixed)
IFAIL	independent failure flag not implemented (0, fixed)
ITHERM	temperature implemented (1, fixed)
IHYPER	deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}$ stored in <code>hsv</code> vector (1, fixed)
IEOS	separate equation of state not implemented (0, fixed)
LMCA	length of added material constant <code>cma</code> array (24, fixed)

CARD 3

BULK	mixture isentropic bulk modulus used by LS-DYNA in Δt estimate
SHEAR	mixture isotropic shear modulus used by LS-DYNA in Δt estimate
muS	matrix shear modulus μ_S
aS	matrix shear stiffening multiplier a_S
kS	matrix shear stiffening exponent k_S
muk	fiber shear modulus μ_k
kk	fiber exponential stiffening factor k_k
theta0	reference and initial temperature θ_0

CARD 4

n0	solid volume fraction n_0^s
rhoR	solid isolated mass density ρ_{R0}^s
B0	solid isothermal bulk modulus B_θ^s
Bp	solid pressure derivative of bulk modulus $B_{\theta p}^s$
kv	solid exponential bulk stiffening factor k_V^s
cv	solid specific heat at constant strain/volume c_ϵ^s
gruneis	solid Grüneisen parameter γ_0^s
pR0	ambient reference pressure p_R^α for all phases (e.g., 1.0 atm)

CARD 5

n0	fluid volume fraction n_0^f
rhoR	fluid isolated mass density ρ_{R0}^f
B0	fluid isothermal bulk modulus B_θ^f
Bp	fluid pressure derivative of bulk modulus $B_{\theta p}^f$
kv	fluid exponential bulk stiffening factor k_V^f
cv	fluid specific heat at constant strain/volume c_ϵ^f
gruneis	fluid Grüneisen parameter γ_0^f
pcav	fluid cavitation pressure: if nonzero, max allowed value of $-p_V^f/n_0^f$

CARD 6

kappa1	dispersion factor κ_k for $k = 1$; if negative, families $k = 1, 2$ disabled
iota11	fiber orientation director vector X_1 -component $(\iota_k)_1$ for $k = 1$

iota12	fiber orientation director vector X_2 -component $(\iota_k)_2$ for $k = 1$
iota13	fiber orientation director vector X_3 -component $(\iota_k)_3$ for $k = 1$
kappa2	dispersion factor κ_k for $k = 2$; if negative, family $k = 2$ disabled
iota21	fiber orientation director vector X_1 -component $(\iota_k)_1$ for $k = 2$
iota22	fiber orientation director vector X_2 -component $(\iota_k)_2$ for $k = 2$
iota23	fiber orientation director vector X_3 -component $(\iota_k)_3$ for $k = 2$

CARD 7

betaM1	matrix viscoelastic stiffening factor β_{S1}
betaM2	matrix viscoelastic stiffening factor β_{S2}
tauM1	matrix viscoelastic relaxation time τ_{S1}
tauM2	matrix viscoelastic relaxation time τ_{S2}
betaF1	fiber viscoelastic stiffening factor $\beta_{\Phi k,1}$ (equal for $k = 1, 2$)
betaF2	fiber viscoelastic stiffening factor $\beta_{\Phi k,2}$ (equal for $k = 1, 2$)
tauF1	fiber viscoelastic relaxation time $\tau_{\Phi k,1}$ (equal for $k = 1, 2$)
tauF2	fiber viscoelastic relaxation time $\tau_{\Phi k,2}$ (equal for $k = 1, 2$)

CARD 8

dmgflag	set to 1. to enable damage modeling, or set to 0. for no tissue damage
dthresh	normalized damage threshold $\bar{W}_\chi/\mu_S = (W_{\chi k}/\mu_S) \cdot (\bar{E}_C/E_{Ck})$
Ematrix	matrix cohesive energy $\bar{E}_C$
Efibrat	ratio of fiber to matrix cohesive energy $E_{Ck}/\bar{E}_C$ for all k
viscdmg	viscosity time scale for fracture kinetics $\bar{\beta} = \beta_k$ for all k
varthetaeta	phase-field fracture degradation exponent $\bar{\vartheta} = \vartheta_k$ for all k
erem	remnant strain at rupture $\bar{\epsilon} = \tilde{\epsilon}_k$ for all k
ralpha	non-Euclidean metric scaling factor $\bar{r} = \tilde{r}_k$ for all k

CARD 9

injflag	set to 0. for no injury prediction, 1. to enact injury increase from total strain energy, ¹ 2. for injury from tensile volumetric energy plus deviatoric energy, or 3. for injury from deviatoric energy only
ithresh	normalized injury threshold $\bar{W}_{\chi I}/\bar{W}_\chi = W_{\chi Ik}/W_{\chi k}$ for all k
npower	user-specified solution control variable for damage and injury update

<code>numiter</code>	user-specified convergence iterations for damage and injury update*
<code>c1</code>	linear shock viscosity coefficient c_1
<code>cq</code>	quadratic shock viscosity coefficient c_q
<code>dDmax</code>	user-specified max allowed $\dot{D}\Delta t$ and $\dot{D}_k\Delta t$ in single increment Δt (only applies if $\bar{\beta} = \beta_k > 0$)
<code>tenflag</code>	set to 0. for no dilatational energy contribution to matrix damage and no fiber stress switch-off in compression, 1. for dilatational energy contribution to matrix damage and fiber stress switch-off, ¹ 2. for dilatational energy contribution but no fiber stress switch-off, 3. for no dilatational energy contribution but with fiber stress switch-off

CARD 10

<code>tmpflag</code>	set to 1. for (e.g., adiabatic) temperature update or to 0. for isothermal
<code>Pactmax</code>	max active isometric fiber stress $P_{0k}n_0^s$ for $k = 1, 2$; inactive if 0.
<code>omegaI</code>	exponential active stress decay from injury (see Eq. 4.2 in article ¹)
<code>b0</code>	zeroth-order b_0 in active stress function φ_A (see Eq. 4.1 in article ¹)
<code>b1</code>	first-order b_1 in active stress function φ_A (see Eq. 4.1 in article ¹)
<code>b2</code>	second-order b_2 in active stress function φ_A (see Eq. 4.1 in article ¹)
<code>b3</code>	third-order b_3 in active stress function φ_A (see Eq. 4.1 in article ¹)
<code>b4</code>	fourth-order b_4 in active stress function φ_A (see Eq. 4.1 in article ¹)

CARD 11

<code>tact0</code>	starting time t_{A0} for possible muscle activation
<code>tact1</code>	ending time t_{A1} for possible muscle activation
<code>d0</code>	constant term d_0 in activation function of Equation 1
<code>d1</code>	linear term d_1 in activation function of Equation 1
<code>d2</code>	quadratic term d_2 in activation function of Equation 1
<code>d3</code>	sinusoidal magnitude d_3 in activation function of Equation 1
<code>d4</code>	sinusoidal phase lag d_4 in activation function of Equation 1
<code>d5</code>	sinusoidal frequency d_5 in activation function of Equation 1

*Reducing `numiter` speeds up simulations but decreases accuracy of damage and injury predictions. If `npower` equals 1.0, then accuracy of rate-independent damage and injury models is $\pm 0.5/\text{numiter}$.

Card 1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Variable	MID	RO	MT	LMC	NHV	IORTHO	IBULK	IG
Required (bold font)	user- prescribed	see text	48	48	84	0	1	2
Type	A8	F	I	I	I	I	I	I

Card 2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Variable	IVECT	IFAIL	ITHERM	IHYPER	IEOS	LMCA		
Required	0	0	1	1	0	24		
Type	I	I	I	I	I	I		

Card 3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Variable [units]	BULK [Pa]	SHEAR [Pa]	muS [Pa]	aS [none]	kS [none]	muk [Pa]	kk [none]	theta0 [K]
Suggested	3.17E+09	1.0E+05	1.0E+03	20.0	0.5	1.5E+03	3.2	310.0
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Card 4	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Variable [units]	n0 [none]	rhoR [kg/m³]	B0 [Pa]	Bp [none]	kv [none]	cv [J/kg K]	gruneis [none]	pR0 [Pa]
Suggested	0.90	1103.3	3.17E+09	8.0	6.0	3.25E+03	0.313	1.013E+05
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Card 5	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Variable [units]	n0 [none]	rhoR [kg/m ³]	B0 [Pa]	Bp [none]	kv [none]	cv [J/kg K]	gruneis [none]	pcav [Pa]
Suggested	0.10	1030.0	2.18E+09	6.96	7.0	3.96E+03	0.132	8.9E+06
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Card 6	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
Variable [units]	kappa1 [none]	iota11 [none]	iota12 [none]	iota13 [none]	kappa2 [none]	iota21 [none]	iota22 [none]	iota23 [none]
Suggested	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Card 7	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
Variable [units]	betaM1 [none]	betaM2 [none]	tauM1 [sec]	tauM2 [sec]	betaF1 [none]	betaF2 [none]	tauF1 [sec]	tauF2 [sec]
Suggested	2.0	0.0	2.0E-02	1.0E-05	2.0	0.0	2.0E-02	1.0E-05
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Card 8	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48
Variable [units]	dmgflag [none]	dthresh [none]	Ematrix [Pa]	Efibrat [none]	viscdmg [sec]	vartheta [none]	erem [none]	ralpha [none]
Suggested	1.0	5.0	2.65E+03	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.2	2.0
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Card 9	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56
Variable [units]	injflag [none]	ithresh [none]	npower [none]	numiter [none]	cl [none]	cq [none]	dDmax [none]	tenflag [none]
Suggested	1.0	0.022	1.0	100.0	0.06	1.5	1.0	1.0
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Card 10	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64
Variable [units]	tmpflag [none]	Pactmax [Pa]	omegal [none]	b0 [none]	b1 [none]	b2 [none]	b3 [none]	b4 [none]
Suggested	1.0	6.7E+04	9.5	-3.7	8.156	-3.74	0.144	0.024
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Card 11	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72
Variable [units]	tact0 [sec]	tact1 [sec]	d0 [none]	d1 [none]	d2 [none]	d3 [none]	d4 [radian]	d5 [rad/sec]
Suggested	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Type	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

3. Sample Input File

An example input file invoking the user-material model in LS-DYNA syntax follows subsequently. The first unique feature of this file is the material history outputs requested for the first nine entries of hsv — θ , $\bar{D}$, D_k ($k = 1, 2$), $\bar{I}$, I_k ($k = 1, 2$), and $\tilde{\sigma}_{Ak}$ ($k = 1, 2$)—as well as all nine components of the deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}$. The second unique feature is specification of the user-defined (skeletal muscle) material model and parameters as described in Section 2 of this technical note. Other standard features of the LS-DYNA input file are documented in the manuals.²

The input file for a one-element mesh is provided in what follows next. Boundary conditions compress a single hexahedral element of unit dimensions by 10% in the X_1 -direction over a total time interval of 1 s. The material comprises 90% solid and 10% fluid, with the former encompassing one non-dispersed fiber family (i.e., $\kappa_1 = 0$) aligned in the direction of compression: $\boldsymbol{\iota}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$.

The initial time step is artificially set to an extremely small number to enable printing of output diagnostics over many increments. The space–time domain, loading conditions, and material properties can be adjusted, if need be, to model various 1-D problems as analyzed in Section 4 of the main journal article.¹

```

*KEYWORD
*TITLE
single 8-node hexahedral finite element of skeletal muscle: user material 48
$-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
*CONTROL_TERMINATION
$  ENDTIM
   1.0E+0
$-----+-----+-----+-----+
*CONTROL_TIMESTEP
$  DTINIT   TSSFAC
   0.0E+0   0.10
$-----+-----+-----+-----+
*DATABASE_BINARY_D3PLOT
$    FREQ
   1.0E-2
$-----+-----+-----+-----+
*DATABASE_EXTENT_BINARY
$  NEIHP    NEIPS    MAXINT    STRFLG    SIGFLG    EPSFLG    RLTF LG    ENGFLG
   93       93       3         1         1         1         1         1
$  CMPFLG   IEVERP   BEAMIP    DCOMP     SHGE      STSSZ    N3THDT   IALEMAT
   0         0         0         1         1         1         2         1
$  NINTSLD  PKP_SEN   SCLP     HYDRO    MSSCL     THERM    INTOUT   NODOUT
   1         0         1.0       0         0         0         0
$-----+-----+-----+-----+
*DEFINE_MATERIAL_HISTORIES_NAMES
History,1,48,1,1
THETA
History,2,48,1,1
DMAT
History,3,48,1,1
DFIB1
History,4,48,1,1
DFIB2
History,5,48,1,1
IMAT
History,6,48,1,1
IFIB1
History,7,48,1,1
IFIB2
History,8,48,1,1
SIGACT1
History,9,48,1,1
SIGACT2
History,85,48,1,1
F11
History,86,48,1,1
F21
History,87,48,1,1
F31
History,88,48,1,1
F12
History,89,48,1,1
F22
History,90,48,1,1
F32
History,91,48,1,1
F13
History,92,48,1,1
F23
History,93,48,1,1
F33
*PART
single 3D element
$-----+-----+-----+-----+
$    PID     SECID     MID     EOSID     HGID     GRAV     ADPOPT     TMID
   1         1         1         0         0         0         0         1
*SECTION_SOLID
$    SECID    ELFORM     AET
   1         1         0
$-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

*MAT_USER_DEFINED_MATERIAL_MODELS

\$	MID	RO	MT	LMC	NHV	IORTHO	IBULK	IG
\$	1	1096.0	48	48	84	0	1	2
\$	IVECT	IFAIL	ITHERM	IHYPER	IEOS	LMCA		
\$	0	0	1	1	0	24		
\$	BULK	SHEAR	muS	aS	kS	muk	kk	theta0
\$	3.17E+9	1.00E+5	1.00E+3	20.0	0.5	1.5E+3	3.2	310.0
\$solid: n0	rhoR	B0	Bp	kv	cv	gruneis	pR0	
\$	0.90	1103.3	3.17E+9	8.0	6.0	3.25E+3	0.313	1.013E+5
\$fluid: n0	rhoR	B0	Bp	kv	cv	gruneis	pcav	
\$	0.10	1030.0	2.18E+9	6.96	7.0	3.96E+3	0.132	8.9E+6
\$	kappa1	iota11	iota12	iota13	kappa2	iota21	iota22	iota23
\$	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
\$	betaM1	betaM2	tauM1	tauM2	betaF1	betaF2	tauF1	tauF2
\$	2.0	0.0	2.0E-2	1.0E-5	2.0	0.0	2.0E-2	1.0E-5
\$	dmgflag	dthresh	Ematrix	Efibrat	viscdmg	varthetaeta	erem	ralpha
\$	1.0	5.0	2.65E+3	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.2	2.0
\$	injflag	ithresh	npower	numiter	cl	cq	dDmax	tenflag
\$	1.0	0.022	1.0	100.	0.06	1.5	1.0	1.0
\$	tmpflag	Pactmax	omegaI	b0	b1	b2	b3	b4
\$	1.0	6.7E+4	9.5	-3.7	8.156	-3.74	0.144	0.024
\$	tact0	tact1	d0	d1	d2	d3	d4	d5
\$	0.0	1.0E+0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

*NODE

\$	NID	X	Y	Z	TC	RC
\$	1	0.	0.	0.	7	7
	2	1.	0.	0.	5	0
	3	1.	1.	0.	3	0
	4	0.	1.	0.	6	0
	5	0.	0.	1.	4	0
	6	1.	0.	1.	2	0
	7	1.	1.	1.	0	0
	8	0.	1.	1.	1	0

*SET_NODE_GENERAL

\$	SID	DA1	DA2	DA3	DA4	SOLVER		
\$	1	0	0	0	0	MECH		
\$	OPTION	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7
\$	NODE	1	4	5	8			

*SET_NODE_GENERAL

\$	SID	DA1	DA2	DA3	DA4	SOLVER		
\$	2	0	0	0	0	MECH		
\$	OPTION	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7
\$	NODE	2	3	6	7			

*SET_NODE_GENERAL

\$	SID	DA1	DA2	DA3	DA4	SOLVER		
\$	3	0	0	0	0	MECH		
\$	OPTION	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	E7
\$	ALL							

*SET_PART

\$	SID	DA1	DA2	DA3	DA4	SOLVER		
\$	1	0	0	0	0	MECH		
\$	PID							
\$	1							

*ELEMENT_SOLID

\$	EID	PID	N1	N2	N3	N4	N5	N6	N7	N8
\$	1	1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

*BOUNDARY_PRESCRIBED_MOTION_SET

\$	TYPEID	DOF	VAD	LCID	SF	VID	DEATH	BIRTH
\$	1	1	0	1	1.0			

*BOUNDARY_PRESCRIBED_MOTION_SET

```

$#  TYPEID      DOF      VAD      LCID      SF      VID      DEATH      BIRTH
      2          1          0          2      -0.1
*BOUNDARY_PRESCRIBED_MOTION_SET
$#  TYPEID      DOF      VAD      LCID      SF      VID      DEATH      BIRTH
      3          2          0          1      1.0
*BOUNDARY_PRESCRIBED_MOTION_SET
$#  TYPEID      DOF      VAD      LCID      SF      VID      DEATH      BIRTH
      3          3          0          1      1.0
*DEFINE_CURVE
$-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
$      LCID      SIDR      SFA      SF0      OFFA      OFFO      DATTYP
      1
$-----+-----+-----+
$      A1          01
      0.0          0.0
      1.0          0.0
*DEFINE_CURVE
$-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
$      LCID      SIDR      SFA      SF0      OFFA      OFFO      DATTYP
      2
$-----+-----+-----+
$      A1          01
      0.0          1.0
      1.0          1.0
*END

```

4. References

1. Clayton J, Hampton C. Dynamic damage in active and passive skeletal muscle: a continuum mechanical model. *International Journal of Engineering Science*. 2026;221:104472.
2. Hallquist J. LS-DYNA User's Manual R15. Livermore Software Technology Corporation; 2024.
3. Clayton J. User documentation for a liver constitutive model. DEVCOM Army Research Laboratory (US); 2025. Report No.: ARL-TN-1273.
4. Clayton J. Modeling lung tissue dynamics and injury under pressure and impact loading. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*. 2020;19:2603–2626.
5. Clayton J, Freed A. A constitutive model for lung mechanics and injury applicable to static, dynamic, and shock loading. *Mechanics of Soft Materials*. 2020;2:3.
6. Clayton J, Banton R, Goertz A. A continuum mechanical model of the human lung: implementation and parameterization. DEVCOM Army Research Laboratory (US); 2020. Report No.: ARL-TR-9138.
7. Freed A, Clayton J. Coordinate indexing strategies for the Laplace stretch in two and three dimensions. DEVCOM Army Research Laboratory (US); 2022. Report No.: ARL-TR-9530.
8. Clayton J. Modeling dynamic impact, shock waves, and injury in liver tissue with a constrained mixture theory. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology*. 2025;24:1735–1766.
9. Hampton C, Kleinberger M. Material models for the human torso finite element model. Army Research Laboratory (US); 2018. Report No.: ARL-TR-8338.
10. Regueiro R, Zhang B, Wozniak S. Large deformation dynamic three-dimensional coupled finite element analysis of soft biological tissues treated as biphasic porous media. *Computer Modeling in Engineering Sciences (CMES)*. 2014;98:1–39.
11. Clayton J. Analysis of shock waves in a mixture theory of a thermoelastic solid and fluid with distinct temperatures. *International Journal of Engineering Science*. 2022;175:103675.

12. Clayton J. Generalized Finsler geometry and the anisotropic tearing of skin. *Symmetry*. 2023;15:1828.
13. Clayton J. Universal phase-field mixture representation of thermodynamics and shock wave mechanics in porous soft biologic continua. *Physical Review E*. 2024;110:035001.
14. Clayton J. A universal continuum theory and shock wave analysis for biologic solids and fluids. DEVCOM Army Research Laboratory (US); 2024. Report No.: ARL-TR-10010.
15. Irwin Z, Clayton J, Regueiro R. A large deformation multiphase continuum mechanics model for shock loading of soft porous materials. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*. 2024;125:e7411.
16. Clayton J. Nonlinear soft-tissue elasticity, remodeling, and degradation described by an extended Finsler geometry. *Journal of Elasticity*. 2025;157:15.
17. Clayton J, Lloyd J. Numerical models for constitutive behaviors of ferrous metals. DEVCOM Army Research Laboratory (US); 2023. Report No.: ARL-TR-9776.
18. Holzapfel G, Ogden R. Constitutive modelling of passive myocardium: a structurally based framework for material characterization. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*. 2009;367:3445–3475.
19. Nagy A, Benson D, Kaul V, Palmer M. Constitutive modeling of biological soft tissues. 15th International LS-DYNA Users Conference. Detroit (MI), 2018.
20. Clayton J. *Nonlinear mechanics of crystals*. Springer; 2011.

List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

TERMS

1-D	one-dimensional
3-D	three-dimensional
EOS	equation of state
FE	finite element
SI	International System of Units

MATHEMATICAL SYMBOLS

$(\square)^\alpha$	quantity for constituent or phase α
$(\square)^s$	quantity for solid constituent
$(\square)^f$	quantity for fluid constituent
$(\square)_k$	quantity for fiber family k
$(\square)_D$	quantity for damage model
$(\square)_F$	quantity for fiber hyperelasticity
$(\square)_R$	quantity for isolated (i.e., real) constituent
$(\square)_S$	quantity for deviatoric response
$(\square)_V$	quantity for volumetric response
$(\square)_A$	quantity for active response
$(\square)_\Gamma$	quantity for matrix viscoelasticity
$(\square)_\Phi$	quantity for fiber viscoelasticity
$(\square)_0$	quantity typically defined in global reference configuration
$(\square)_*$	quantity in local reference state where properties measured
$(\square)_\star$	quantity measuring transition from state at t_* to current t
$(\dot{\square})$	material time derivative with respect to mixture
a_S	nonlinear shear stiffening constant
B_θ	isothermal bulk modulus
$B_{\theta p}$	pressure derivative of isothermal bulk modulus
c_ϵ	specific heat per unit mass at constant strain
c_l	linear bulk viscosity coefficient
c_q	quadratic bulk viscosity coefficient
$\mathbf{C}$	right Cauchy–Green tensor
$\mathbf{d}$	spatial deformation rate tensor
D	order parameter or damage measure for fiber fracture

$\bar{D}$	order parameter for matrix fracture or fluid cavitation
E_C	cohesive energy of fibers per unit reference volume
$\bar{E}_C$	cohesive energy of matrix or fluid per unit reference volume
$\mathbf{F}$	deformation gradient
I	scalar injury measure for fiber family
$\bar{I}$	scalar injury measure for matrix or fluid
J	Jacobian determinant of deformation gradient
k	fiber exponential stiffening constant
k_S	shear exponential stiffening constant
k_V	volumetric exponential stiffening constant
n_0	referential constituent volume fraction
$n_\star$	mapped constituent volume fraction
p	Cauchy pressure
$\mathbf{Q}$	conjugate stress to viscoelastic configurational state variable
$\tilde{r}$	Finsler metric exponential constant for fibers
$\bar{r}$	Finsler metric exponential constant for matrix or fluid
t	time
W_χ	energy threshold for matrix or fiber damage initiation
$W_{\chi I}$	energy threshold for matrix or fiber damage initiation
$\mathbf{x}$	spatial (i.e., Eulerian) coordinates
$\mathbf{X}$	referential (i.e., Lagrangian or material) coordinates
β	viscoelastic stiffness factor or viscous time for fracture kinetics
Δ	transient muscle activation function
$\bar{\epsilon}$	remnant strain for matrix
$\tilde{\epsilon}$	remnant strain for fibers
γ_0	Grüneisen parameter (scalar)
$\mathbf{\Gamma}$	viscoelastic configurational state variable
μ	shear modulus
β	viscosity or kinetic parameter
θ	temperature
ϑ	damage degradation exponent
ρ	spatial mass density
ρ_0	referential mass density
ρ_{R0}	real mass density of isolated constituent at 1 atm
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$	total Cauchy stress tensor
τ	viscoelastic relaxation time
Ψ	Helmholtz free energy per unit volume

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
(PDF) INFORMATION CTR
DTIC OCA

1 DEVCOM ARL
(PDF) FCDD RLD DCI
TECH LIB